

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/689,388	10/20/2003	Michael Philip Clark	8717MR2	4154
27752	7590 11/07/2005		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			MCKENZIE, THOMAS C	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1624	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE MAIL ED: 11/07/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/689,388	CLARK ET AL.	
Lxanimer-initialeu interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	1624	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>new CIP</u>		
(1) Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Eshler</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview:	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Potential enablement rejections to use claims 10 and 11, potential obviousness-type double patenting rejections to claims 10-13.	l statutory double patenting rejec	ction to claim 12, and potential	
Claims discussed: 1-16			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
Inem Childera			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claims 1-9 and 14-16 were allowable but various rejections would be made to claims 10-13. The Examiner suggested cancellation of claims 10-13 and proceeding with a first action allowance. Applicants proposed submission of terminal disclaimers for their patents 6,730,668, 6,849,627, and 6,960,593. This TD would overcome the ODP rejection to claim 13, which would then be allowable as well. Although not mentioned in the telephone interview, the first line of the specification needs to be updated to reflect issuance of the parent application as US Patent No. 6,730,668.